Systemic Risk of Pandemic via Novel Pathogens -Coronavirus: A Note

Joseph Norman[†], Yaneer Bar-Yam[†], Nassim Nicholas Taleb *[‡]

†New England Complex Systems Institute, *School of Engineering, New York University, [‡] Universa Investme

THE NOVEL CORONAVIRUS emerging out of Wuhan, China has been identified as a deadly strain that is also highly contagious. The response by China to date has included travel restrictions on tens of millions across several major cities in an effort to slow its spread. Despite this, positively identified cases have already been detected in many countries spanning the globe and there are doubts such containment would be effective. This note outlines some principles to bear in relation to such a process.

Clearly, we are dealing with an extreme fat-tailed process owing to an increased connectivity, which increases the spreading in a nonlinear way [1], [2]. Fat tailed processes have special attributes, making conventional risk-management approaches inadequate.

GENERAL PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE

The general (non-naive) precautionary principle [3] delineates conditions where actions must be taken to reduce risk of ruin, and traditional cost-benefit analyses must not be used. These are ruin problems where, over time, exposure to tail events leads to a certain eventual extinction. While there is a very high probability for humanity surviving a single such event, over time, there is eventually zero probability of surviving repeated exposures to such events. While repeated risks can be taken by individuals with a limited life expectancy, ruin exposures must never be taken at the systemic and collective level. In technical terms, the precautionary principle applies when traditional statistical averages are invalid because risks are not ergodic.

NAIVE EMPIRICISM

Next we address the problem of naive empiricism in discussions related to this problem.

Spreading rate: Historically based estimates of spreading rates for pandemics in general, and for the current one in particular, underestimate the rate of spread because of the rapid increases in transportation connectivity over recent years. This means that expectations of the extent of harm are underestimates both because events are inherently fat tailed, and because the tail is becoming fatter as connectivity increases.

Global connectivity is at an all-time high, with China one of the most globally connected societies. Fundamentally,

Reproductive ratio: Estimates of the virus's reproduct ratio R_0 —the number of cases one case generates on averance over the course of its infectious period in an otherw uninfected population—are biased downwards. This proper comes from fat-tailedness [4] due to individual 'superspreade events. Simply, R_0 is estimated from an average which talonger to converge as it is itself a fat-tailed variable.

Mortality rate: Mortality and morbidity rates are a downward biased, due to the lag between identified cas deaths and reporting of those deaths.

Increasingly Fatal Rapidly Spreading Emergent Pathoge With increasing transportation we are close to a transition conditions in which extinction becomes certain both beca of rapid spread and because of the selective dominance increasingly worse pathogens. [5]

Asymmetric Uncertainty: Properties of the virus that uncertain will have substantial impact on whether polici implemented are effective. For instance, whether contaginasymptomatic carriers exist. These uncertainties make it clear whether measures such as temperature screening major ports will have the desired impact. Practically all uncertainty tends to make the problem potentially worse, better, as these processes are convex to uncertainty.

Fatalism and inaction: Perhaps due to these challenges common public health response is fatalistic, accepting w will happen because of a belief that nothing can be do This response is incorrect as the leverage of correctly selec extraordinary interventions can be very high.

Conclusion: Standard individual-scale policy approact such as isolation, contact tracing and monitoring are rapi (computationally) overwhelmed in the face of mass infecti and thus also cannot be relied upon to stop a pandemic. Mu scale population approaches including drastically pruning c tact networks using collective boundaries and social behave change, and community self-monitoring, are essential.

Together, these observations lead to the necessity of precautionary approach to current and potential pander outbreaks that must include constraining mobility patterns the early stages of an outbreak, especially when little is known about the true parameters of the pathogen.

It will cost something to reduce mobility in the short te but to fail do so will eventually cost everything—if not fr this event, then one in the future. Outbreaks are inevitable, viral contagion events depend on the interaction of agents in physical space, and with the forward-looking uncertainty that novel outbreaks necessarily carry, reducing connectivity temporarily to slow flows of potentially contagious individuals is the only approach that is robust against misestimations in the properties of a virus or other pathogen.

an appropriately precautionary response can mitigate systematics to the globe at large. But policy- and decision-makers mact swiftly and avoid the fallacy that to have an appropriate respect for uncertainty in the face of possible irreversion catastrophe amounts to "paranoia," or the converse a bethat nothing can be done.